

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/087,386	03/01/2002	Ken A. Nishimura	10004331-1	6282
7590 02/08/2005 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER TRAN, DZUNG D	
P.O. Box 7599			2633	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 02/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/087,386	NISHIMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dzung D Tran	2633
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 26-36 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/01/02</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eskildsen et al. US patent no. 5,959,750 in view of Hayee et al. US patent no. 6,714,742.

Regarding claim 21, Eskildsen discloses a transmitter 82 for generating an optical multiplex signal suitable for transmission into an optical channel, the transmitter comprising:

a pseudorandom bit sequence (PRBS) generator (figure 4, element PRBS) for generating a plurality of independent PRBSs (col. 4, lines 52-54);

a plurality of electro-optical modulators (66a, 66b, 66c, 66d, col. 4, lines 43-44) each coupled to the PRBS generator and disposed for modulating the polarization mode of optical signal according to the pseudorandom bit sequence PRBS to form a modulated optical signal (col. 4, lines 52-54, col. 5, lines 25-34). In figure 4, Eskildsen does not specific disclose an **optical combiner** disposed at one end of the optical channel for combining a plurality of the modulated optical signals to form

Art Unit: 2633

the optical multiplex signal for transmission through the optical channel. However, Eskildsen discloses a MZ modulator 83 encodes a pseudorandom bit sequence onto one (or four) of the four carrier wavelengths (col. 4, lines 51-54) then transmit the optical multiplex signal for transmission through the optical link 86 (see figure 4). Furthermore, Hayee discloses in figure 6, a multiplexer 610 (equivalent to combiner) for multiplexing wavelength $\lambda 1$, $\lambda 2$, ... λM in to a multiplexed signal and transmit it through the optical link 603 (see figure 6). Thus, if it is not inherent, it would be obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to implement the MUX of Hayee in the system of Eskildsen in order to transmit a plurality of wavelength bands over a single optical fiber.

Regarding claim 22, Hayee discloses the optical channel comprises an optical Waveguide (col. 1, line 18).

Regarding claim 23, Eskildsen discloses the optical channel comprises a fiber optical channel 14 (col. 4, line 14).

Regarding claim 24, Hayee discloses the optical channel may be implemented in free space (col. 1, line 17).

Regarding claim 25, Hayee discloses the plurality of independent PRBSs are mutually orthogonal (col. 2, lines 23-24).

3. Claims 1-20 and 26-36 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Zhengdi U.S. patent no. 5,706,275. Data transmission method, transmitter and receiver
- b. DaSilva et al. U.S. patent no. 6,674,557. Wavelength Division Multiplexing system
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung D Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2633

DT 11/02/2004

SUPPLICATION OF CARE AND A STATE OF THE OWNER OF CARE AND A STATE OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER